# Chemical weed management in pea (Pisum sativum L.)

# S. K. DAS

Directorate of Research, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Kalyani- 741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India

Received: 08-01-2016; Revised: 25-09-2016; Accepted: 27-09-2016

## ABSTRACT

Field experiments were conducted for three years at Pulses and Oilseeds Research Station, Berhampore, Murshidabad, West Bengal, India during rabi 2010, 2011 and 2012 to develop an efficient eco-friendly chemical weed management practice with newer herbicidal molecules in pea. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with three replications having twelve treatments viz.  $W_0$  – Weedy check,  $W_1$  – Hand weeding twice at 15 and 30 DAS,  $W_2$  – Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE (pre-emergence) at I DAS, W<sub>2</sub>-Quizalofop ethyl @ 37.5g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (Post-emergence) at 10-15 DAS, W<sub>4</sub>-Chlorimuron ethyl @ 4.0g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS),  $W_5$  – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 50g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS),  $W_6$  – Imazethapyr @ 25ml  $ha^{-1}$  as PoE (15-20 DAS),  $W_7$  – Pendimethalin @ 2.0 kg  $ha^{-1}$  as PE (pre-emergence) at 1 DAS,  $W_8$  – Quizalofop ethyl @ 75 g  $ha^{-1}$  as PoE (Post-emergence) at 10-15 DAS,  $W_{0}$  – Chlorimuron ethyl @ 8.0g  $ha^{-1}$  as PoE (10-15 DAS),  $W_{10}$  – Fenoxaprop-pethyl @ 100g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS),  $W_{11}$  – Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (15-20 DAS). Experimental results revealed that highest seed yield (961.0 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under the treatment  $W_1$  and lowest with  $W_0$  (421.0 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>). Application of chemical herbicides significantly improved the seed yield over  $W_0$  Application of Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (916.0 kg.ha<sup>1</sup>) was found at par with W<sub>1</sub>. The lowest weed density and weed dry weight (8.3 gm<sup>2</sup>) was recorded in hand weeding twice followed by application of Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha<sup>-1</sup>as PoE (15-20 DAS) (12.1 gm<sup>-2</sup>). Among the chemical herbicides, applied at recommended doses, Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha<sup>-1</sup>as PoE at 15-20 DAS recorded highest (71%) weed control efficiency with significantly higher seed yield. Overdose of chemical herbicides though recorded higher weed control efficiency but reduced pea yield due to negative effect on plant growth and yield attributing characters. Chemical weed control measures increased the total microbial population by 23 to 76.7 per cent over weedy check and 10.6 to 58.9 per cent over twice hand weeding It was also revealed that nodulation in pea was not affected significantly due to the application of chemical herbicides.

Keywords: Chemical weed management, microbial population, nodulation, seed yield, weed control efficiency

With the growing population of the world in general and the developing countries in particular, demands are overwhelmed for enhanced food production. Besides emphasizing on main crops and vegetables, various pulses also play an important role to satisfy the growing human food demands. Among many others, pea is an important pulse crop and a source of food for the people. Pulses are mostly grown by the small and marginal farmers under resource constraints situation. Pea is mostly grown by the farmers during rabi season. Weeds are a major problem for pea production. Weeds cause 37.3 to 64.4 per cent reduction in pea yield (Tewari et al., 1997; Banga et al.; 1998 and Harker, 2001). Peas are poor competitors, particularly at the seedling stage, avoiding early season weed interference is critical. The critical period for crop weed competition in pea is up to 60 days after sowing (Kumar et al., 2009). Weeds can hamper pea production in many ways. First, weeds can reduce yield through competition for light, moisture, nutrients, and space. Second, weeds may harbour insect pests and pathogens that can affect crop production. Finally, late season weeds can be a nuisance that reduces harvest efficiency. Since hand weeding and other weed control methods are laborious, time consuming, costly and difficult, chemicals are the obvious and cost effective methods of weed control. For this many preemergence herbicides were released and used by the farmers but very few post-emergence herbicides are available. The chemical weed control method is becoming popular among the farmers as they continue to realize the usefulness of herbicides.

Therefore, this experiment was initiated with the objective to develop a suitable, effective and eco friendly chemical weed management practice for pea and at the same time to study the effect of over dose application of these herbicides on pea.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field experiments were conducted at Pulses and Oilseeds Research Station, Berhampore, Murshidabad, West Bengal, India situated at 24°60'N latitude, 88°15' E longitude at an elevation of 19.0 meters above the mean sea level (MSL) during *rabi* 2010, 2011 and 2012. Initial soil samples were collected randomly from different locations of the experimental area with the help of auger from 0-15 cm. soil depth. Those were then thoroughly mixed, dried in shade and sieved and the volume of soil samples to be analyzed were there after reduced using partitioning method. Those soil samples were then kept in polythene bags for mechanical and chemical analysis. The soil of the experimental field

E-mail: sanjibag@gmail.com

was sandy loam in texture and slightly alkaline in reaction (pH 7.5) having total N content of 0.051 per cent, 74 kg available P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup> and 112 kg available K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>. The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design with three replications having twelve treatments viz.  $W_0$  – Weedy check,  $W_1$  – Hand weeding twice at 15 and 30 DAS,  $W_2$  – Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ha-1 as PE (pre-emergence) at 1 DAS, W<sub>3</sub>- Quizalofop ethyl @ 37.5g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (Post-emergence) at 10-15 DAS,  $W_4$  – Chlorimuron ethyl @ 4.0g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS), W<sub>5</sub> – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 50g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS),  $W_6^-$  – Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (15-20 DAS),  $W_7$  – Pendimethalin @ 2.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE (pre-emergence) at 1 DAS, W<sub>8</sub> –Quizalofop ethyl @ 75 g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (Post-emergence) at 10-15 DAS,  $W_0$  – Chlorimuron ethyl @ 8.0g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS),  $W_{10}$  – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 100g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DÅS),  $W_{11}$  – Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (15-20 DAS) with a plot size of 4 x 3 m. Treatments  $W_2$  to  $W_6$ were the recommended doses for respective herbicides and treatments  $W_7$  to  $W_{11}$  were the double the recommended doses of the respective herbicides. The short duration pea variety used was 'GF-68' and the seed rate was 60 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. sown with a spacing of 30 x 10 cm. The fertilizer applied was 20-40-40 kg N-P- K ha<sup>-1</sup>. applied as basal in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. The herbicides were sprayed by using hand operated knapsack sprayer fitted with herbicide nozzle of ASPEE ULV 100. The spray volume used was 400L ha<sup>-1</sup>. Density of weeds viz., grasses, sedges and broad leaf weeds were recorded species wise in a fixed square meter area at pre treatment, 15, 30 and 45 DAS. Dry weight of weeds were recorded from 0.25 m<sup>2</sup> destructive sampling area.

Weed control efficiency was also calculated as suggested by Maity and Mukherjee (2011). For microbial population study, soil samples were collected from each treatment and from this representative soil sample of each treatment, 1g of field soil was measured by precision balance and then it was diluted up to  $10^{6}$ times by following serial dilution method as done by Elad et al. (1980), Baker (1968) and Naher (2013). Then this diluted soil was spreaded into the media plates to develop microbial colony. To enumerate total bacterial, fungal and actinomycetes population nutrient agar (NA), potato dextrose agar (PDA) amended with 30ppm rose bengal and actinomycetes media plates were used, respectively. The number of Colony Forming Units (CFU) were counted 1DA (Day after) 3DA and 6DA by keeping the plates in incubator at 25-30°C as done by Elad et.al.(1980), Baker (1968) and Naher (2013). The microbial population was expressed in terms of CFU g <sup>1</sup> of soil. Nodulation was studied at 15 days interval starting from 15 DAS up to 45 DAS. Only active nodules were considered. The nodules with the pink colour of leg haemoglobin were considered active nodules.

Das

Nodules were dried in a hot air oven at 75°C and their dry weights were recorded in a sensitive balance to get the dry weight of nodule per plant.

Data on seed yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded at harvest. Analysis of variance of the data in the experimental design and comparison of means at pd"0.05 were carried out, using MSTAT-C software.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Yield attributing characters and seed yield

Experimental results revealed that weed management practices significantly influenced the yield attributing characters and seed yield of pea variety GF-68 (Table 1 and 2). Based on the pooled value of three years, it was observed that highest plant height (61 cm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (2.8), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (13.8), number of seeds  $pod^{-1}$  (5.92), test weight (14.4 g) and seed yield (961 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded under the treatment W<sub>1</sub> (Twice hand weeding), might be due to adequate weed control during the cropping period, which provided maximum moisture and nutrients for healthy plant growth (Sultana et al., 2009) and lowest plant height (40 cm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (2.0), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (7.1), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (5.25), test weight (13.5 g) and seed yield (421 kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with weedy check  $(W_0)$  which was mainly due to heavy infestation of weeds. These results corroborated with the findings of Mathukia et al.(2015). Application of chemical herbicides significantly improved the seed yield over W<sub>0</sub> Among the chemical weed control measures, application of Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha<sup>-1</sup>as PoE (15-20 DAS)(W<sub>6</sub>) recorded highest plant height (58 cm), number of primary branches plant<sup>-1</sup> (2.7), number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (12.3), number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (5.85), test weight (14.1g) and seed yield (916 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) of pea which was found at par with  $W_{1}$  mainly attributed to better weed control efficiency by reducing the weed density and weed dry weight with no harmful effect on plant. The result corroborated with the findings of Hanson and Thill (2001). These results corroborated with the findings of Das et al. (2014), Asaduzzaman et al. (2010) and Mundra and Maliwal (2012). But application of higher or double dose of Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha<sup>-1</sup>as PoE (15-20 DAS) recorded significant yield reduction (829 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to application of Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha<sup>-1</sup>mainly attributed to shorter plant height (51 cm), reduced number of primary branches per plant (2.5), pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (10.9), seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> (5.67) and test weight (13.8 g) with thickened leaves and shorter internodes length which might be due to a toxic effect of on plant growth by the use of overdose of the herbicide. These sorts of findings were also recorded for other chemicals also.

Table 1: Effect of different weed management practices on seed yield (kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>) of pea (pooled)

| Treatment   | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Mean |
|---|------|------|------|------|
| $W_0$ – Weedy check   | 432  | 410  | 420  | 421  |
| $W_1$ – Hand weeding twice at 15 and 30 DAS                         | 987  | 925  | 971  | 961  |
| $W_2$ – Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> as PE               |      |      |      |      |
| (pre-emergence) at 1 DAS  | 843  | 886  | 875  | 868  |
| W <sub>3</sub> - Quizalofop ethyl @ 37.5g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE   |      |      |      |      |
| (Post-emergence) at 10-15 DAS                                       | 855  | 828  | 837  | 840  |
| $W_4$ – Chlorimuron ethyl @ 4.0g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE            |      |      |      |      |
| (10-15 DAS)   | 748  | 720  | 731  | 733  |
| $W_5$ – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 50g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE            |      |      |      |      |
| (10-15 DAS)   | 834  | 825  | 810  | 823  |
| $W_6$ – Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE (15-20 DAS)      | 934  | 900  | 914  | 916  |
| $W_7$ – Pendimethalin @ 2.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> as PE               |      |      |      |      |
| (pre-emergence) at 1 DAS  | 837  | 819  | 774  | 810  |
| $W_8 - Quizalofop ethyl @ 75 g ha^{-1} as PoE$                      |      |      |      |      |
| (Post-emergence)at 10-15 DAS  | 737  | 715  | 741  | 731  |
| W <sub>9</sub> – Chlorimuron ethyl @ 8.0g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE   |      |      |      |      |
| (10-15 DAS)   | 584  | 683  | 665  | 644  |
| W <sub>10</sub> – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 100g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE |      |      |      |      |
| (10-15 DAS)   | 812  | 786  | 805  | 801  |
| $W_{11}$ –Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE (15-20 DAS)    | 827  | 815  | 845  | 829  |
| SEm (±)   | 22.9 | 24.6 | 23.7 | 24.0 |
| LSD (0.05)  | 68.3 | 73.3 | 70.5 | 71.2 |

#### Weed flora

The experimental field was dominated by natural infestation of broad leaf weed (BLW) like Anagalis arvensis, Chenopodiuln album, Convolvulus arvensis, Fumaria parviflora, Melilotus alba, Lathyrus aphaca, Euphorbia hirta, Parthenium hysterophorus, Gnaphalium leuteoalbum, Commelina banghalensis and grasses like Echinochloa colona, Cynodon dactylon, Digitaria sanguinalis and sedges like Cyperus rotundus. The maximum weed density and weed dry weight(56.5 gm<sup>-2</sup>) was recorded in weedy check followed by Chlorimuron ethyl @ 4.0g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE at 10-15 DAS (26.8 gm<sup>-2</sup>) are given in table 3. The lowest weed density and weed dry weight (8.3 gm<sup>-2</sup>)was recorded in hand weeding twice followed by application of Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha<sup>-1</sup>as PoE (15-20 DAS)  $(12.1 \text{ gm}^{-2})$  (Table 3). Weed dry weight reflects the growth potential of the weeds and is a better indicator of its competitive ability with the crop plants. Unweeded check recorded the highest weed growth and weed biomass. Highest weed control efficiency (85.3%) was recorded with hand weeding twice followed by application of Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha<sup>-1</sup>as PoE at15-20 DAS (78.6%). Hand weeding at 15 DAS effectively prevent or control early emerged weeds followed by hand weeding at 30 DAS control the later emerged weeds (Yadav et al., 2004). Among the chemical herbicides, applied at recommended doses, Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha<sup>-1</sup>as PoE at15-20 DAS recorded highest (71%) weed control efficiency with significantly higher seed yield. Overdose of chemical herbicides though recorded higher weed control efficiency but reduced pea yield due to negative effect on plant growth and yield attributing characters.

### Total microbial population

Total microbial population was significantly influenced by weed management practices. Highest microbial population (64.5×10<sup>6</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> of soil) at harvest was recorded with application of Fenoxapropp-ethyl @ 50g ha-1as PoE (10-15 DAS) which was found at par with  $W_2$ ,  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$ ,  $W_6$ ,  $W_{10}$  and  $W_{11}$  and lowest with hand weeding twice ( $36.5 \times 10^6$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup> of soil). The result was in conformity with the findings reported by Ali et.al. (2014). Twice hand weeding recorded significantly lower microbial population than chemical weed control measures. This was in conformity with Sapundjieva et.al. (2008). Chemical weed control measures increased the total microbial population by 23 to 76.7 per cent over twice hand weeding and 10.6 to 58.9 per cent over weedy check which might be due to the fact that initially total microbial population did not vary significantly in all the treatments but after herbicide application; they differ for a short period of

| Treatment 1   | Plant height    | No. of primary               | No. of pods         | No. of      | 1000       |  |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|--|
|   | ( <b>cm</b> )   | branches plant <sup>-1</sup> | plant <sup>-1</sup> | seeds pod-1 | seed       |  |
|   |                 |                              |                     |             | weight (g) |  |
| W <sub>0</sub> – Weedy check  | 40              | 2.0                          | 7.1                 | 5.25        | 13.5       |  |
| W <sub>1</sub> – Hand weeding twice at<br>15 and 30 DAS   | 61              | 2.8                          | 13.8                | 5.92        | 14.4       |  |
| W <sub>2</sub> – Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>as PE (pre-emergence) at 1 D.             | 57<br>AS        | 2.6                          | 11.7                | 5.76        | 14.0       |  |
| W <sub>3</sub> - Quizalofop ethyl @ 37.5g ha <sup>-1</sup><br>as PoE (Post-emergence) at<br>10-15 DAS | 56              | 2.6                          | 11.2                | 5.70        | 13.9       |  |
| $W_4$ – Chlorimuron ethyl @ 4.0g hatas PoE (10-15 DAS)  | <sup>1</sup> 46 | 2.3                          | 9.8                 | 5.58        | 13.7       |  |
| W <sub>5</sub> – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @<br>50g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS)                      | 56              | 2.5                          | 10.8                | 5.65        | 13.9       |  |
| W <sub>6</sub> – Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha <sup>-1</sup> as<br>PoE (15-20 DAS)                            | 58              | 2.7                          | 12.3                | 5.85        | 14.1       |  |
| W <sub>7</sub> – Pendimethalin @ 2.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>as PE (pre-emergence) at 1 D.             | 50<br>AS        | 2.4                          | 10.6                | 5.63        | 13.8       |  |
| W <sub>8</sub> –Quizalofop ethyl @ 75 g ha <sup>-1</sup><br>as PoE (Post-emergence)at<br>10-15 DAS    | 45              | 2.2                          | 10.0                | 5.55        | 13.6       |  |
| W <sub>9</sub> – Chlorimuron ethyl @ 8.0g ha-<br>as PoE (10-15 DAS)                                   | <sup>1</sup> 44 | 2.1                          | 9.6                 | 5.45        | 13.6       |  |
| $W_{10}$ – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @<br>100g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS)                           | 49<br>)         | 2.4                          | 10.4                | 5.60        | 13.7       |  |
| W <sub>11</sub> – Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha <sup>-1</sup> as<br>PoE (15-20 DAS)                           | 51              | 2.5                          | 10.9                | 5.67        | 13.8       |  |
| SEm (±)   | 1.10            | 0.20                         | 0.30                | 0.07        | 0.20       |  |
| LSD (0.05)  | 3.20            | 0.60                         | 0.90                | 0.20        | 0.40       |  |

Table 2: Effect of different weed management practices on yield attributing characters of pea (pooled)

time. Having the ability to degrade herbicides, microorganisms utilize them as a source of biogenic elements for their own physiological processes. As herbicides have toxic effects on microorganisms; they reduce their abundance, activity and consequently, the diversity of their communities before degradation. Immediately after application, the toxicity of herbicides is normally most severe as their concentration in soil is highest but with the advancement of time, microorganisms degraded the herbicides and their concentration gradually reduced up to half-life. After that, carbon released from degraded herbicide leads to an increase of the soil microflora population (Bera and Ghosh, 2013). Chemical herbicides generally stimulated and increased the soil fungi and actinomycetes population and reduced the bacterial population to some extent and increased the overall total microbial population as also reported by Ali *et.al.* (2014), Adil *et al.*(2012) and Anderson *et al.*(2004). Application of double the recommended doses of herbicides found to have a negative effect on the total microbial population particularly in case of Pendimethalin @ 2.0 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as PE (pre-emergence) at 1 DAS, Quizalofop ethyl @ 75 g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (Post-emergence)at 10-15 DAS and Chlorimuron ethyl @ 8.0g ha<sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS).

## Nodulation

Experimental results revealed that nodulation in pea was not affected significantly due to the application of chemical herbicides . This was in conformity with the findings of Raman and Krishnamoorthy (2005). Highest nodule number (16.7 nos.plant<sup>-1</sup>) and nodule dry weight

#### Chemical weed management in pea

| Treatment  | Weed<br>density<br>(Nos. m <sup>-2</sup> )<br>45 DAS | Weed<br>dry<br>weight<br>(gm <sup>-2</sup> )<br>45 DAS | Weed<br>control<br>efficiency<br>(%) | Total<br>microbial<br>population<br>(CFU×10 <sup>6</sup> g <sup>-1</sup><br>of soil) at<br>harvest | No. Of<br>nodules | Nodule<br>dry<br>weight<br>(mg<br>plant <sup>-1</sup><br>45 DAS) |
|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------|--|
| W <sub>0</sub> – Weedy check   | 360.8  | 56.5   | 0.0                                  | 40.6   | 14.0              | 80.1   |
| $W_1 -$ Hand weeding twice at 15<br>and 30 DAS   | 46.3   | 8.3  | 85.3                                 | 36.5   | 16.7              | 110.3  |
| $W_2$ – Pendimethalin @ 1.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> as<br>PE (pre-emergence) at 1 DAS                  | 126.6  | 20.2   | 64.2                                 | 52.8   | 15.3              | 104.5  |
| W <sub>3</sub> - Quizalofop ethyl @ 37.5g ha <sup>-1</sup> as<br>PoE (Post-emergence) at 10-15 E   | 132.4<br>DAS   | 22.1   | 60.9                                 | 62.9   | 14.8              | 100.2  |
| W <sub>4</sub> – Chlorimuron ethyl @ 4.0g ha <sup>-1</sup> as<br>PoE (10-15 DAS)                   | 146.9  | 26.8   | 52.6                                 | 59.5   | 14.4              | 98.3   |
| $W_5$ – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 50g ha <sup>-1</sup><br>as PoE (10-15 DAS)                            | 140.2  | 25.5   | 54.9                                 | 64.5   | 14.6              | 100.5  |
| W <sub>6</sub> – Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha <sup>-1</sup> as<br>PoE (15-20 DAS)                         | 110.1  | 16.4   | 71.0                                 | 63.8   | 15.6              | 105.3  |
| W <sub>7</sub> – Pendimethalin @ 2.0 kg ha <sup>-1</sup><br>as PE (pre-emergence) at 1 DAS         | 89.8   | 12.8   | 77.3                                 | 45.8   | 14.5              | 97.2   |
| W <sub>8</sub> –Quizalofop ethyl @ 75 g ha <sup>-1</sup><br>as PoE (Post-emergence)at<br>10-15 DAS | 95.1   | 14.9   | 73.6                                 | 47.6   | 14.2              | 92.3   |
| W <sub>9</sub> – Chlorimuron ethyl @<br>8.0g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS)                   | 106.6  | 16.2   | 71.3                                 | 44.9   | 14.2              | 86.4   |
| $W_{10}$ – Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @<br>100g ha <sup>-1</sup> as PoE (10-15 DAS)                        | 100.2  | 15.8   | 72.0                                 | 50.1   | 14.4              | 95.7   |
| $W_{11}$ – Imazethapyr @ 50ml ha <sup>-1</sup><br>as PoE (15-20 DAS)                               | 82.3   | 12.1   | 78.6                                 | 49.5   | 14.7              | 99.3   |
| SEm (±)<br>LSD (0.05)  | 2.60<br>7.90   | 0.50<br>1.30   | -                                    | 5.50<br>16.80  | 0.90<br>2.70      | 9.70<br>29.00  |

 Table 3: Effect of different weed management practices on weed density, weed dry weight, weed control efficiency, total microbial population and nodulation of pea (pooled)

(115.3 mg plant<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded with the treatment twice hand weeding which was found statistically at par with the application of chemical herbicides. The lowest nodule number (14.0 plant<sup>-1</sup>) and nodule dry weight (80.1 mg plant<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded with the treatment weedy check which was significantly lower than all other treatments might be due to poor growth of the plant itself. Significant inhibitory effects of herbicides on nodulation were not found could be due to their rapid inactivation in soil or its rapid translocation along with photosynthate, to distant metabolic sink. Similar type of findings were also reported by Ali et al.(2014) and Fernandez et al. (1992). Applied herbicides at their respective recommended doses and in higher doses were found to have no significant negative impact on nodule density and biomass but affected plant growth at higher concentration. Similar type of findings were also reported by Gonzalez et al.(1996).

Thus, based on 3 years' data it can be concluded that application of Imazethapyr @ 25ml ha<sup>-1</sup>as PoE (15-20 DAS) may be a suitable, effective and eco-friendly chemical weed management practice for pea.

### REFERENCES

- Adil, A., El Husaein, Afrah, Mohamed, T., Marmar A. E., Sharif, A.M. and Osman, A.G. 2012. Effects of oxyfluorfen herbicide on microorganisms in loam and silt loam Soils. *Res. J. Env. Sci.* 6: 134-45.
- Ali, M., Zaid, Muftah Mayouf, and Yahya, S.F. 2014. The effects of post-emergence herbicides on soil microflora and nitrogen fixing bacteria in pea field. *Int. J. Chem. Env. Bio. Sci.*2, Issue 1.

- Anderson., J.A., Boldock., S.L., Bellotte, R.W and Gill, G. 2004. Influence of chlorsulfuron on rhizobial growth, nodule formation, and nitrogen fixation with chickpea. *Australian J. Agril Res.* 55: 1059-70.
- Asaduzzaman, M., Sultana, S., Roy, T.S. and Masum, S.M. 2010. Weeding and plant spacing effects on the growth and yield of blackgram. *Bangladesh Res. Pub. J.* **4**: 62-68.
- Baker, R. 1968 Mechanisms of biological control of soil-borne pathogens. Ann. Rev. Phytopatho. 6:263-94.
- Banga, R.S., Yadav, A and Malik, R.S. 1998. Evaluation of different herbicides for weed control in pea (*Pisum sativum* (L)). *Indian J Weed Sci.* 30: 145-48.
- Bera, S. and Ghosh, R. K. 2013. Soil physico-chemical properties and microflora as influenced by bispyribac sodium 10% SC in transplanted kharif rice. *Rice Sci.* **20**: DOI: 10.1016/S1672-6308(13) 60148-1.
- Das ,R., Patra, B. C., Mandal ,M. K. and Pathak, A. 2014. Integrated weed management in blackgram (*Vigna mungo.*) and its effect on soil microflora under sandy loam soil of west bengal, *The Bio Scan.* 9: 1593-96,
- Elad, Y., Chet, I and Katan, J. 1980 *Phytopatho*. **70**:119-21.
- Fernandez Pascual. M., Delernzoc, Pozuelo. J.M, and Defelipe, M.R. 1992. Alteration induced by four herbicides on Lupin nodule cortex structure ,protein metabolism and some senescence related enzymes. J. Pl. Physiol. 140 : 385-90.
- Gonzalez, A., Gonzalez, C., Murua and Royuela, M. 1996. Influence of Imazathapyr on rhizobium growth and it's symbiosis with pea(*Pisum sativum*). Weed Sci. **44**:31-37.
- Hanson, D.B. and Thill, C.D. 2001. Effects of Imazathapyr and pendimethalin on lentil (*Lens culanaris*), pea (*Pisum sativum*) and subsequent winter wheat crop. *Weed Tech.* **15**: 190-94.

- Harker, K.N. 2001. Survey of yield losses due to weeds in central Alberta. *Canadian J.Pl. Sci.* **81**: 339-42.
- Kumar, A., Sharma, B.C., Nandan, B and Sharma, P.K. 2009. Crop weed competition in field pea under rain fed subtropical conditions of Kandi belt of Jammu. *Indian J Weed Sci.* **41**: 23-26.
- Maity, S.K. and Mukherjee, P.K. 2011. Effect of brown manuring on grain yield and nutrient use efficiency in dry direct seeded kharif rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) *Indian J Weed Sci.* **43**: 61-66.
- Mathukia, R.K., Gadhiya, P.V. and Panara, D.M. 2015. Weed management in field pea(*Pisum sativum* L.). *J. Crop Weed.* **11**: 177-80.
- Mundra , S.L. and Maliwal, P.L. 2012. Influence of quizalofop-ethyl on narrow-leaved weeds in blackgram and its residual effect on succeeding crops. *Indian J Weed Sci.* **44**: 231–34.
- Naher, U.A., Othman, R., and Panhwar, Q.A. 2013. Culturable total and beneficial microbial occurrences in long-term nutrient deficit wetland rice soil. *Australian J Crop Sci.* 7(12):1848-53.
- Raman, R. and Krishnamoorthy, R. 2005. Nodulation and yield of mungbean (*Vigna radiate* L.) influenced by integrated weed management practices. *Legume Res.* 28: 128-30.
- Sapundjieva, K., Kalinova, S., Kartalska, Y.and Naydenov, M. 2008. Influence of pendimetalin herbicide upon soil microflora. *Pochvoznanie*, *Agrokhimiya I Ekologiya*. 42: 49 55.
- Sultana, S., Ulah, M.J., Karim, M.F. and Asaduzzaman, M. 2009. Response of mungbean to integrated nitrogen and weed management. *Amer. Eurasian* J. Agron. 2: 104-08.
- Tewari, A.N., Husssain, N.K. and Singh, B. 1997.
  Evaluation of herbicides in pea (*Pisum sativum*)
  + mustard (*Brassica juncea*) intercropping. *Indian J Weed Sci.* 29: 94-97.
- Yadav, S.S. 2004. Integrated weed management in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.) under rainfed conditions of Rajasthan. *Haryana J. Agron.*, 20: 120-21.