# Efficacy of *Trichoderma* spp. against *Phytophthora parasitica* and *Pythium* spp. causing foot rot and leaf rot of betelvine (*Piper betle* L.)

### <sup>1</sup>P. DATTA, B. DASGUPTA AND D. K. SENGUPTA

AINP on Betelvine, BCKV, Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal, 741235, <sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, University of Kalyani, Nadia, West Bengal Received: 18.03.2011, Revised: 27.08.2011, Accepted : 29.08.2011

#### ABSTRACT

Seventeen isolates of Trichoderma spp. isolated in Trichoderma Specific Medium (TSM) form soils of different betel vine plantations of West Bengal and two isolates form soils of Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh. Cultural, morphometric and antagonistic potential against Phytophthora parasitica (4 isolates) and Pythium spp. (6 isolates) of each Trichoderma spp. isolates were studied. The morphometric studies revealed that the highest phialides, phialospores, chlamydospore were recorded in isolate  $T_{13}$  and length of conidiophore was reordered highest in T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>13</sub> and T<sub>12</sub> isolates respectively. The chlamydospores recorded were in twins, chains or in intercalary in positions. The antagonistic potential of all the isolates of Trichoderma spp. were tested by Dual Plate Technique against all the isolates of Phytophthora parasitica and Pythium spp. and one isolate of Phytophthora parasitica were tested by inverted plate technique to find out the volatile properties against all the isolates of Trichoderma spp. From the cultural and morphometric characters, it was revealed that among the 17 isolates of Trichoderma spp. T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>13</sub> and T<sub>30</sub> are Trichoderma viride, T<sub>4</sub> is T.virens and rest isolates are T. harzianum. The results of antagonistic property revealed that T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>12</sub>, T<sub>14</sub>, T<sub>8</sub> and T<sub>30</sub> showed highest promise under in vitro conditions (Dual Plate Technique) by fully overgrowing the pathogens as reordered by Bell's scale within 7-8 days. Isolates T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>15</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> of Trichoderma spp. showed some promise against isolate of Phytophthora parasitica by inverted plate technique within 4-5 days by releasing some volatiles. From the results, it could be concluded that isolates T<sub>4</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> were highly effective against the above pathogen by both the techniques tested.

Key words: Biocontrol, Piper betle, Trichoderma viride, T. harzianum, Phytophthora parasitica and Pythium

Betelvine is cultivated mainly under artificially erected structure, known as Boroj, Bareja or Bheet, which is a kind of hut whose sides and roof is made of jute slaths or straw on a light frame work of bamboo. In spite of the tremendous potentiality of the crop, cultivation of betel vine is highly risky and returns are uncertain because of its proneness to several diseases, aggravated by the moist and humid conditions of the plantation, that in turn are prerequisites for good harvest. Obviously the major constraint to cultivation of betelvine is its diseases that severally damage foot, stem, root and foliage. The serious diseases reported include a foot rot syndrome produced by a number of pathogens including Phytophthora parasitica var. piperina, P. nicotianae var parasitica, species of Rhizoctonia, Pythium and Sclerotium rolfsii Sace, and foliage diseases like leaf rot by P. parasitica, P. palmivora, leaf spot and stem anthracnose caused by Colletorichum capsici, bacterial leaf spot and stem rot caused by Xanthomonas campestries pv. betlicola. Among the pathogens, Phytophthora sp. and Pythium spp. perhaps ranks first in its destructiveness under both field and storage conditions. The extent of losses may vary from 30 - 100% in case of foot rot and 20 -40% in case of leaf rot, leading to almost total crop failure (Maiti and Sen, 1982; Dasgupta et al., 2000).

In last three decades, a lot of researches have been carried out on the antagonistic nature of several species of genus *Trichoderma* (Papavizas, 1985; Chet, 1987) which had shown highest potential against soilborne fungal pathogens. Both *T. harzianum and T. viride* showed highest potential against many soil borne fungal pathogens. Researches on *T. harzianum* and *T. viride* as a biocontrol agent also showed differential antagonistic potential among isolates (D'Souza *et al.*, 2001; Mohanty, 2003). Our emphasis in the present studies was on the need for screening specific isolates of antagonists against various isolates of *P. parasitica* and *Pythium* spp.. Several antagonistic isolates of *Trichoderma sp.* were collected from different betelvine gardens of West Bengal and they were then tested under *in vitro* conditions against the pathogen *P. parasitica* and *Pythium* spp. which causes foot rot and leaf rot of betelvine (*Piper betle* L.).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Isolates of Trichoderma sp. from soil

Seventeen different isolates of *Trichoderma* sp. were randomly isolated from soils which were collected from different barejas of West Bengal and two isolates form soils of Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh by dilution plate technique using TSM (*Trichoderma* Specific Medium) (Elad and Chet, 1983) modified by Saha and Pan (1997) (Table 1). All the isolates were maintained on PDA slants at 5°C.

### Isolates of *Phytophthora parasitica* and *Pythium* spp. from soil

Four different isolates of *Phytophthora* parasitica and six different isolates of *Pythium* spp. were isolated from infected leaf and stem which were collected from different barejas of West Bengal using V8 juice agar medium (Table 2). All the isolates were maintained on Oat meal agar slants at  $5^{\circ}$ C.

#### General Characteristic of Trichoderma isolates

Micrometric measurement of phialospores and phialides was done by mounting 4 day old young culture in lactophenol stained with cotton blue and observed under high power research microscope. Micrometric measurement of chlamydospores was made from one month old culture following the method described earlier. The length breadth ratios of phialospores, phialides and chlamydospores were recorded.

#### Antagonistic potential of Trichoderma Isolates

The antagonistic properties of 17 isolates of *Trichoderma* were tested on PDA medium by dual culture plate technique. Five days old cultures of *Phytophthora parasitica* and *Pythium* spp. were plated aseptically at the edge of petri plates 2 days

before the placement of *Trichoderma* sp. Paired cultures were observed for a total of 9 days before being discarded. All the ratings were done after contacts between pathogen and antagonist using a modified Bell's (Bell *et a.l.*, 1982) scale (1-5) developed as follows:

Class I -The antagonist completely overgrew the pathogen (100% overgrowth).

Class II – The antagonist overgrew at least 2/3 <sup>rd</sup> of pathogen surface (75% overgrowth).

Class III – The antagonist colonized on half the growth of the pathogen (50% overgrowth).

Class IV- The pathogen & antagonist locked at the point of contact; and

Class V- The pathogen overgrew the mycoparasite.

#### Table 1: Source of isolates of Trichoderma spp.

Isolate	s Place of collection	Name of bioagent	Growth after 96 hrs.
Tı	Plant Virus Research Farm, BCKV, Kalyani, Nadia (Baroj -1)	Trichoderma harzianum	Light green coloured full plate growth.
T <sub>2</sub>	Plant Virus Research Farm, BCKV,Kalyani, Nadia (Baroj -2)	T. harzianum	Light green coloured full plate growth.
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	Plant Virus Research Farm, BCKV,Kalyani, Nadia (Baroj -3)	T. harzianum	Deep green sporulation all over the plate.
$T_4$	Mondauri Farm, BCKV, Mondauri, North 24 Parganas (Baroj -1)	T. virens	Dark green full plate growth.
$T_5$	Mondauri Farm, BCKV, Mondauri, North 24 Parganas (Baroj -2)	T. harzianum	Deep greenish sporulation, full plate mycelia growth.
T <sub>6</sub>	Rautari, Nadia	T. viride	Dirty green coloured full plate growth.
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	Simurali, Nadia	T. viride	Light green coloured sporulation, full plate growth.
$T_8$	Simurali, Nadia	T. harzianum	Light green coloured full plate growth.
T9	Rautari, Nadia	T. viride	Light greenish compact full plate growth.
T <sub>10</sub>	Simurali, Nadia	T. viride	Compact yellowish green growth.
T <sub>11</sub>	Rautari, Nadia	T. viride	Deep green sporulation all over the medium.
T <sub>12</sub>	Simurali, Nadia	T. viride	Dirty green sporulation all over the medium.
T <sub>13</sub>	Rautari, Nadia	T. viride	Deep green sporulation.
$T_{14}$	Rautari, Nadia	T. harzianum	Deep green sporulation.
T <sub>15</sub>	Simurali, Nadia	T. harzianum	Deep green, compact sporulation
$T_B$	Bapatla, Andhra Pradesh	T.viride	Compact deep greenish full plate growth
T <sub>ZN</sub>	Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh	T. viride	Greenish appearance throughout the Petriplate.

#### 204 Efficacy of...betelvine

Isolates	Source	Name of the fungus	Place of collection
P <sub>1</sub>	Leaf	Phytophthora parasitica	Gene Bank, BCKV,Kalyani
P <sub>2</sub>	Leaf	Pythium spp.	Gene Bank, BCKV,Kalyani
P <sub>3</sub>	Leaf	Pythium spp.	Gene Bank, BCKV,Kalyani
P <sub>4</sub>	Stem	Pythium spp.	Mondauri Farm, BCKV, Mondauri
P <sub>5</sub>	Leaf	Pythium spp.	Mondauri Farm, BCKV, Mondauri
P <sub>6</sub>	Leaf	Phytophthora parasitica	Baroj of Aizwal Mondal, Simurali, Nadia
P <sub>7</sub>	Stem	Pythium spp.	Baroj of Saidul Mondal, Simurali, Nadia
P <sub>8</sub>	Stem	Pythium spp.	Baroj of Selim Mondal, Simurali, Nadia
P <sub>9</sub>	Leaf	Phytophthora parasitica	Baroj of Aswini Mondal, Simurali, Nadia
P <sub>10</sub>	Leaf	Phytophthora parasitica	Baroj of Uttam Das, Simurali, Nadia

Table 2: Source of isolates of *Phytophthora parasitica* and *Pythium* spp.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Identity of the isolates of Trichoderma

The identity of test isolates of antagonist was attempted to identify through a study of cultural and morphometric characters. The colony characters of seventeen isolates on PDA as observed visually at different time intervals (24-96 hrs) were recorded (Table 3). In general, colony morphology of all the isolates was more or less similar showing sparse to thin cottony mycelial mass with whitish border. Sporulation started after 48 hrs of incubation at  $28\pm1^{\circ}$  C for all the isolates. These observations on colony characters showed no difference from those made earlier by Rifai (1969), Domsch *et al.* (1980), Martha (1992) and D'Souza *et al.* (2001).

The micrometric measurements (Table 4) showed that the largest phialospore produced by isolate  $T_4$  and it ranges from 3.75-7.50(5.62) µm and smallest ones were produced by isolates  $T_2$ ,  $T_5$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{11}$ ,  $T_{13}$ ,  $T_{14}$ , length rages from 2.5-3.50 µm and breadth ranges from 2.26-2.59 µm. The length breadth ratio was found to be highest in  $T_{13}$ . The length of Phialides ranged between 10-13.75 µm and width ranged between 2.66-3.10 µm. The longest phialides was produced by  $T_9$  [12.5-15 µm (13.75)] and largest by also  $T_9$  (12.5-15 µm × 2.51X-3 µm) and smallest Phialides was produced by  $T_{12}$  (6.25-10.25×2.71-2.99 µm). The length breadth ratio was highest in  $T_9$  (5:1) where as smallest in  $T_{ZN}$  (1.16:1) (Table 4).

The morphometric characters and micrometric measurements of 17 isolates of *Trichoderma* spp revealed that  $T_6$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_9$ ,  $T_{10}$ ,  $T_{11}$ ,  $T_{12}$ ,  $T_{13}$  and  $T_{ZN}$  isolates are *T. viride*,  $T_4$  isolate is *T. virens* and rest isolates are *T. harzianum* (Table 1).

## Antagonistic potential of antagonist isolates against *P. parasiticaa*

The result (Table 5) showed that 3 isolates of T. viride., T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>12</sub> and T<sub>2N</sub>, one isolate of T. virens,

 $T_4$  and two isolates of *T. harzianum*,  $T_{14}$  and  $T_B$  were highly antagonistic to *P. parasitica*, totally overgrew over the pathogenic organism within 7-8 days. Those isolates were categorized in class-I according to Bell's scale. The other *Trichoderma* spp. isolates gave an altogether different picture. *T. viride* isolate  $T_{11}$  and *T. harzianum* isolates  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_{15}$  were rated as  $R_2$ . Where as, *T. viride* isolates  $T_6$ ,  $T_9$ ,  $T_{10}$  and  $T_{13}$  and *T. harzianum* isolates  $T_3$  and  $T_5$  were rated as  $R_3$ .  $T_8$ isolate of *T. harzianum* were antagonistic in the scale of  $R_2$  and  $R_3$  to isolates  $P_6$  and  $P_{10}$  and  $P_1$  and  $P_9$  of *P. parasitica* respectively.

Trichoderma sp. specifically T. viride isolates  $T_7$  and  $T_{12}$  reached in Class-I stage within 6 days of inoculation against most of the isolates of *Pythium* spp. However, based on this information the antagonistic T. viride, did not allow an easy selection of isolates as the variability in the antagonistic characteristic within isolate and isolate-pathogen was very high. But the antagonistic isolate  $T_7$  and  $T_{12}$ appeared to be a nearly assured choice due to their effectivity against P. parasitica.

### Antagonistic potential of antagonist isolates against *Pythium* spp.

Three isolates of *T. viride.*,  $T_7$ ,  $T_{12}$  and  $T_{ZN}$ , one isolate of *T. virens*,  $T_4$  and two isolates of *T. harzianum*,  $T_{14}$  and  $T_B$  were highly antagonistic to *Pythium* spp., totally overgrew over the pathogenic organism within 7-8 days. Those isolates were categorized in class-I according to Bell's scale. The other *Trichoderma* spp. isolates gave an altogether different picture. *T. viride* isolate  $T_{11}$  and *T. harzianum* isolates  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_8$  and  $T_{15}$  were rated as  $R_2$ . Where as, *T. viride* isolates  $T_6$ ,  $T_9$ ,  $T_{10}$  and  $T_{13}$  and *T. harzianum* isolates  $T_3$  and  $T_5$  were rated as  $R_3$ .

1

.

<b>.</b>	<u> </u>	~ /) // <b>*</b> * -		<u> </u>
Isolate	Growth after 48 hrs.		Growth after 72 hrs.	Growth after 96 hrs.
Tı		White cottony mycelial growth.	Greenish white mycelial growth.	Light green coloured full plate growth.
T <sub>2</sub>	White sparse growth.	White fluffy mycelial growth.	Full plate growth, whitish, non-sporulation.	Light green coloured full plate growth.
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>		2/3plate white cottony growth.	Full mycelial growth, greenish coloured, sporulation at the older region.	Deep green sporulation all over the plate.
T <sub>4</sub>	White sparce growth.	Same as 24hours, growth, white.	Full plate growth, greenish appearance to the periphery of the disc.	Dark green full plate growth.
T <sub>5</sub>	White cottony appearance.	A raised growth pattern having whitish cottony mycelia growth.	Cottony, compact, light greenish growth.	Deep greenish sporulation, full plate mycelia growth.
T <sub>6</sub>	Off-white mycelial growth.	White sparce growth, 2/3 of plate.	Full plate growth , whitish green growth at the periphery of the plate.	Dirty green coloured full plate growth.
T <sub>7</sub>	White mycelial growth around the disc.	White sparce growth, no sporulation.	Full plate growth, greenish white mycelial growth.	Light green coloured sporulation, full plate growth.
T <sub>8</sub>	White thin growth over the medium.	Round, white growth over the medium.	Light greenish sporulation surrounding the inoculated disc.	Light green coloured full plate growth.
T9	White cottony appearance	Cottony mycelial growth, Light yellowish tinge.	Greenish white low sporulation, light yellowish tinge.	Light greenish compact full plate growth.
T <sub>10</sub>	Fluffy cottony appearance	Same as 24has growth, myclia cover 2/3 of plate.	Full plate growth, light greenish appearance in entire plate.	Compact yellowish green growth.
T <sub>11</sub>	White cottony myclial growth.	White cottony growth on the surface of medium.	Whitish green sporlation at 2/3 of the plate.	Deep green sporulation all over the medium.
T <sub>12</sub>	White sparse growth.	Cottony growth over the medium.	Whitish green appearance nearly entire medium.	Dirty green sporulation all over the medium.
T <sub>13</sub>	White fluffy growth.	White slow growth rate.	Very light greenish growth, myclia cover 2/3 of the plate.	Deep green sporlation.
T <sub>14</sub>	White cottony appearance.	Sparse growth, cover 2/3 of the medium.	Light greenish appearance from centre to the periphery of the plate.	Deep green sporulation.
T <sub>15</sub>	Bright white mycelia growth.	Cottony, white mycelia growth. Covers2/3 of the plate.	Full plate compact, cottony growth, sporulation. More or less in entire plate.	Deep green, compact sporulation
Т <sub>в</sub>	White sparse growth	Whitish mycelial growth	Whitish green sporulation covers $2/3^{rd}$ of the petri plate	Compact deep greenish full plate growth
T <sub>zn</sub>	White cottony growth	Whitish mycelial growth covers 2/3 <sup>rd</sup> of the petriplate	Full plate growth with whitish green appearance at the center.	Greenish appearance through out the Petri plate.

Table 3: Colony characters of seventeen isolates of Trichoderma spp.

Isolate	Phialospo	ore conidia <sup>-1</sup>	(µm)*	Phi	alide (µm)*		Chlamydospore (µm)*					
	L	В	L:B	L	В	L:B	L	В	L:B			
T <sub>1</sub>	2.50-3.75 (3.12)**	2.12-3.32 (2.72)	1.14:1	6.1-12.5 (9.3)	2.47-3.10 (2.78)	3.34:1	6.53-9.05 (7.79)	5.44-8.59 (7.01)	1.11:1			
T <sub>2</sub>	2.75-3.25 (3.0)	2.37-2.82 (2.59)	1.15:1	7.5-15.0 (11.25)			8.96-16.28 (12.62)	6.44-15.47 (10.95)	1.15:1			
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	3.0-4.0 (3.5)	2.62-3.5 (3.09)	1.13:1	7.5-12.5 (10.00)	2.92-4.0 (3.46)	2.89:1	7.62-12.20 (9.91)	6.31-11.99 (9.15)	1.08:1			
T <sub>4</sub>	3.75-7.50 (5.62)	3.39-7.06 (5.22)	1.07:1	7.5-15 (11.25)	2.16-3.10 (2.63)	4.27:1	11.21-22.23 (16.72)	7.02-17.48 (12.25)	1.36:1			
T <sub>5</sub>	25-2.75 (2.62)	2.14-2.38 (2.26)	1.15:1	10-15 (12.5)	2.66-2.79 (2.72)	4.53:1	9.25-16.78 (13.01)	8.46-16.32 (12.39)	1.05:1			
T <sub>6</sub>	2.5-5.0 (3.75)	2.14-4.61 (3.15)	1.06:1	5-12.5 (8.75)	2.46-3.66 (3.06)	2.85:1	6.72-9.88 (8.3)	6.72-9.7 (8.21)	1.01:1			
T <sub>7</sub>	2.5-4.25 (3.37)	2.12-3.88 (3.0)	1.12:1	6.25-10 2.51-3.39 1.75:1 (8.12) (2.95)		1.75:1	5.26-10.55 (7.90)	4.48-1023 (7.35)	1.07:1			
T <sub>8</sub>	2.75-4.25 (3.50)	2.39-3.88 (3.13)	1.11:1	7.5-10.25 (8.87)	2.20-2.99 (2.59)	3.42:1	12.62-32.16 (22.39)	11.23-28.55 (19.89)	1.12:1			
T9	2.5-4.25 (3.37)	2.07-2.88 ( 2.97)	1.13:1	12.5-15 (13.75)	2.51-3 (2.75)	5.0:1	12.61-17.02 (14.81)	10.49-13.03 (11.76)	1.25:1			
$T_{10}$	2.5-3.25 (2.87)	2.07-2.86 (2.46)	1.16:1	5-7.5 (6.25)	2.92-3.9 (3.41)	1.83:1	6.14-15.15 (10.64)	5.72-11.36 (8.54)	1.24:1			
$T_{11}$	2.5-3.5 (3.0)	2.12-3.06 (2.58)	1.16:1	7.5-12.5 (1.00)	2.16-2.66 (2.41)	4.41:1	15.11-24.97 (20.04)	11.19-16.0 (13.59)	1.47:1			
T <sub>12</sub>	3.5-5.25 (4.37)	3.12 -4.81 (3.96)	1.10:1	6.25- 10.25	2.71-2.99 (2.85)	2.89:1	5.11-11.18 (8.14)	4.25-9.42 (6.83)	1.19:1			
T <sub>13</sub>	2.5-3.0 (2.75)	2.12-2.57 ( 2.34)	1.17:1	(8.25) 5-12.5 (8.75)	2.11-2.77 ( 2.44)	3.58:1	18.51-26.48 (22.49)	11.33-14.98 (13.15)	1.71:1			
T <sub>14</sub>	2.5-3.0 (2.75)	2.14-2.88 (2.51)	1.14:1	7.5-18.75 (13.12)	2.78-2.96 (2.87)	4.57:1	13.46-15.68 (14.57)	10.90-13.20 (12.05)	1.20:1			
T <sub>15</sub>	2.5-4.5 (3.5)	2.12-4.13 (3.12)	1.12:1	5-13.75 (9.37)	2.51-3.12 (2.81)	3.33:1	12.42-16.80 (14.61)	10.40-16.39 (13.41)	1.08:1			
$T_{B}$	10-2.5 (10.5)	2.5-4.0 (3.3)	3.18:1	3.75-5.0 (4.5)	3.0-4.5 (3.80)	1.18:1	9.65-15.72 (12.59)	8.12-12.65 (11.14)	1.13:1			
$T_{JN}$	15-20.0 (17.0)	5-9.5 (6.4)	2.65:1	5.0-6.5 (5.55)	3.75-5.75 (4.75)	1.16:1	7.21-13.10 (10.38)	6.10-12.10 (9.28)	1.11:1			
SEm(±)	0.37	0.36		1.79	<b>0.2</b> 7		2.41	1.37				
LSD(0.05)	1.02	1.00		NS	0.76		<b>6.6</b> 7	3.80				
			**									

ι,

2

Table 4: Micrometric measurement of p	hialospores, phi <i>s</i>	alides, chlamydospore	s of <i>Trichoderma</i> spp.

Note: \* Average of three replications <sup>\*\*</sup> Figures in parentheses are average of ten observations

.

11.000	Point of Contact													]	Bell's	scale	after	r (day	s)*				_								
ates			4 <sup>th</sup>								5 <sup>th</sup>			6 <sup>th</sup>				· · · ·	-111		7 <sup>th</sup>			8 <sup>th</sup>							
Isolates	(days)	P <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	P4	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	P <sub>2</sub>	P <sub>3</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>3</sub>	P <sub>4</sub>	P <sub>5</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>7</sub>	P <sub>8</sub>
Tı	3	R <sub>3</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_2R_2$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3R_2$	$R_3R_3$	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	$R_1 R_2$	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	$R_2R_2$	$R_2 R_2 R_2$
T <sub>2</sub>	3	R3	$R_3$	R3	$R_4$	$R_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	R4	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R3	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R3	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	3	R4	R4	R4	R4	$R_4$	$R_4$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2$	R3	$R_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_3$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$\mathbf{R}_{1}$	Rı	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}$	$R_3$	Ri	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	Ri	$R_1$
T4	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	R3	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	Rı	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_1$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R.3	R.3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_1$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	$R_3$	R3
T5	3	R4	R4	R4	R4	R4	$R_4$	$R_3$	R3	R.3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R3	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	R.3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$
T <sub>6</sub>	3	$R_4$	R4	R3	R3	R4	R4	R <sub>2</sub>	R3	$\mathbb{R}_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_3$	$R_2$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$R_2$	Rı	Ri	Rı	$\mathbf{R}_1$	Rı	R,	$R_3$	Rı	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}$	Rı	Rı
<b>T</b> <sub>7</sub>	3	$R_2$	$R_3$	R3	$R_3$	$R_3$	<b>R</b> .3	$R_3$	R2	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	R,	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	R.	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	R3	$R_2$	R1	$R_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$
$T_8$	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	R4	R4	R₄	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R3	$R_3$	R3	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R3
Т,	3	$R_4$	$R_4$	R4	R₄	R4	R4	$R_3$	R4	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	R3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_3$	$R_3$	R.3	$R_3$	<b>R</b> 3	$\mathbb{R}_3$	<b>R</b> .3	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	R.3	$R_3$
T <sub>10</sub>	3	R4	R4	R3	R3	$R_4$	R₄	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	R.2	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2$	R3	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$
T <sub>11</sub>	3	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_1$	$R_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_2$	$\mathbf{R}_2$	$R_3$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	Rı	$R_1$	Rı	Rı	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	Rı	R <sub>1</sub>	R2	$R_1$	Ri	$R_1$	R <sub>1</sub>	Rı
T <sub>12</sub>	3	$R_3$	R4	$R_3$	R4	$R_2$	$R_3$	R3	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R3	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	Rı	$R_3$	$R_3$	R3	R3	$R_3$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	R3	Rı	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_3$
T <sub>13</sub>	3	R4	R4	R₄	$R_2$	$R_3$	R4	$R_2$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	R <sub>2</sub>	R	$R_3$	R3	$R_3$	R <sub>1</sub>	Rı	$R_3$	R <sub>2</sub>	$\mathbf{R}_{1}$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	R <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$R_3$	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	Rı	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_1$
T <sub>14</sub>	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	R3	$R_3$	R4	$R_3$	$R_2$	R₂	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2$	$R_2$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_2$	$R_{z}$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_2$	$\mathbb{R}_2$	$R_2$	R <sub>I</sub>	$\mathbf{R}_{2}$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$
T15	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2$	$\mathbb{R}_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_2$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}$	R <sub>i</sub>	$R_1$	Ri	$R_2R_1$	$R_2$	Rı	R <sub>1</sub>	Rı	Rı	Rı
$T_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	3	R4	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_4$	$R_4$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	R.3	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}$	$R_2$	Rı	$\mathbb{R}_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>	$R_1$	$R_1$	Rı	$R_1$		R1	Rı	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	Rı	R1
$T_{JN}$	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R4	$R_3$	R4		R <sub>2</sub>					$R_2$			R <sub>2</sub>									Rı					

3 3

Table 6: Screening of Trichodrma sp. isolates against Pythium spp. (Average of three replications)

.....

207

· · · · · ·

· · · · · · · · · · ·

	Point of									Bell's	scale	after (o	lays)*								
Isolates	Contact		4	th				5 <sup>th</sup>		-	6	th			7	th			8	th	
	(days)	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P9	P <sub>10</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>	<b>P</b> <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	Pg	P <sub>10</sub>	P <sub>1</sub>	P <sub>6</sub>	P <sub>9</sub>	P <sub>10</sub>
T <sub>1</sub>	3	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
T <sub>2</sub>	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	R <sub>2</sub> R <sub>2</sub>
T <sub>3</sub>	3	R4	R <sub>4</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>	R4	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>
$T_4$	3	$R_3$	$R_4$	R4	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	R <sub>1</sub>
$T_5$	3	$R_4$	R <sub>4</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$
$T_6$	3	R4	$R_4$	$R_4$	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$
$T_7$	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_4$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_{1}$	$R_1$	Rı	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{I}}$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	R <sub>i</sub>	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$
$T_8$	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_4$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$
$T_9$	3	$R_4$	$R_4$	R <sub>4</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>
$T_{10}$	3	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_3$	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$
$T_{11}$	3	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	<b>R</b> <sub>2</sub>	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$
T <sub>12</sub>	3	$R_2$	$R_4$	$R_2$	$R_4$	$R_1$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$\mathbf{R}_{1}$	$R_2$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$\mathbf{R}_1$	$R_1$	Rı	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>1</sub>
T <sub>13</sub>	3	R4	$R_4$	$R_3$	$R_4$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	R <sub>3</sub>
T <sub>14</sub>	3	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_3$	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_4$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$\mathbf{R}_{1}$	R <sub>1</sub>	Rı	Rı	$R_1$	Rı
T <sub>15</sub>	3	$R_3$	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>
T <sub>B</sub>	3	R <sub>4</sub>	R <sub>4</sub>	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_3$	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$
$T_{JN}$	3	$R_3$	$R_4$	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>3</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	R4	R <sub>3</sub>	$R_2$	$R_2$	$R_3$	$R_2$	R <sub>2</sub>	$R_1$	$R_2$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$	$R_1$

Table 5: Screening of Trichoderma spp. against P. Parasitica (Average of three replications)

.

Trichoderma sp. specifically T. viride isolates  $T_7$  and  $T_{12}$  reached in Class-I stage within 6 days of inoculation against most of the isolates of P. parasiticaa and Pythium spp. However, based on this information the antagonistic T. viride, did not allow an easy selection of isolates as the variability in the antagonistic characteristic within isolate and isolatepathogen was very high. But the antagonistic isolate  $T_7$  and  $T_{12}$  appeared to be a nearly assured choice due to their effectively against P. parasitica and Pythium spp.

It is well known that there is sufficient selectivity of isolates of T. viride in their antagonistic efficiency towards a particular pathogen (Papavizas and Lumsden, 1980; Cook and Baker, 1983). Efforts to use these microbes to control such pathogen gained momentary during last 2 decades (Papavizas, 1981). Bell et al. (1982) tested antagonistic activities of Trichoderma sp. isolates against different plant pathogen and recorded pathogen-antagonistic interactions. Reports (Elad et al., 1980) showed that while some isolates were highly antagonistic to some pathogen yet there was a clean isolate to isolate variability in the degrees of parasitism. It could be concluded that there is ample scope to control foot rot and leaf rot of betelvine disease through the use of biocontrol agents under field conditions as few antagonistic obtained from the results showed high activity against P. parasitica and Pythium spp under in-vitro conditions. The overgrowth by the antagonist under in-vitro conditions may be a good criterion of selecting an antagonist provided the isolate showed uniform performance under in-vitro conditions.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Indian Council of Agricultural research, New Delhi for providing financial assistance to carry out the work under AICRP on Medicinal and Aromatic Plants and Betelvine.

#### REFERENCES

- Bell, D. K, Wells, H. D. and Markham, C. R. 1982. In Vitro antagonism of *Trichoderma* species against six fungal plant pathogens. *Phytopath.*, 72: 379-82.
- Chet, I. 1987. Trichoderma: application, mode of action and potential as a biocontrol agent of soil borne plant Pathogenic fungi, In: Innovative Approaches to Plant Disease Control, Willey, N.Y., pp. 137-60.
- Cook, R. J. and Baker, K. K. 1983. The Nature and Practice of Biological Control of Plant

Pathogen (2<sup>nd</sup> Edn.), APS Press, St. Paul, pp. 539.

- Dasgupta, B., Roy, J. K. and Sen, C. 2000. Two major fungal diseases of betelvine. In: Diseases of Plantation Crops, Spices, Betelvine and Mulberry (Ed. Dasgupta, M. K.) pp. 133-37.
- D'Souza, A., Roy, J. K., Mohanty B. and Dasgupta,
  B. 2001. Screening of *Trichoderma* harzianum against major fungal pathogens of betelvine. *Indian Phytopath.*, 54: 340-45.
- Domsch, K. H., Gams, W. and Anderson, T. H. 1980. *Trichoderma* pers, ex. Fr. 1821. *In: Compendium of soil Fungi*, Vol. 1, Academic press, N.Y., pp. 386-77.
- Elad, Y. and Chet, I. 1983. Improved selective media for isolation of *Trichoderma* spp. and *Fusarium* spp. *Phytopara.*, 11: 55-58.
- Elad, Y., Chet, I. and Katan, J. 1980. Trichoderma harzianum, a biocontrol agent effective against Sclerotium rolfsii and Rhizoctonia solani, Phytopath., 70:119-21.
- Maiti, S. and Sen, C. 1982. Incidence of major diseases of betelvine in relation to weather. *Indian Phytoph.*, 35: 14-17.
- Martha, P.K. 1992. Influence of some physicochemical factors on the germination and growth of biotype of *Trichoderma harzianum* and *Gliocladium virens. M.Sc.* (Ag.) Thesis, BCKV, Mohanpur, West Bengal.
- Mohanty, B. 2003. Some Biological Aspects of Phytophthora sp. Causing Rots of Betelvine (*Piper betle* L.) and its Management. *Ph. D Thesis*, BCKV, Mohanpur, pp.118.
- Papavizas, G. C. 1981. Biological Control in Crop Production. Proc. Beltsville Symp. in Agril. Res., 5, Allanhold, Osuman Co., London, pp. 461.
- Papavizas, G. C. 1985. Trichoderma and Gliocladium their Biology, ecology and potential of biocontrol. Ann. Rev Phytopath., 23: 23-54.
- Papavizas, G. C. and Lumsden, R.D. 1980. Biological Control of soil borne fungal propagules. Ann. Rev. Phytopath., 18: 389-13.
- Rifai, M.A. 1969. A revision of the genus Trichoderma Common W. Mycol. Inst. Mycol. Pap., 116: 56.
- Saha, D. K. and Pan, S. 1997. Quantitative evaluation of some specific media of *Trichoderma* and *Gliocladium* spp. J. Mycolopath. Res., 35: 7-13.